

PHASE TRANSITION AND $1/N$ EXPANSION IN $(2 + 1)$ -DIMENSIONAL SUPERSYMMETRIC SIGMA MODELS

E.R. NISSIMOV and S.J. PACHEVA

Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia 1184, Bulgaria

ABSTRACT. Three-dimensional supersymmetric generalized non-linear sigma models are shown to exhibit second-order phase transition due to spontaneous breakdown of the internal symmetry below a critical value of the coupling constant. Supersymmetry remains unbroken in both phases. Supergraph diagram technique of the corresponding $1/N$ expansion and the particle spectrum are derived.

1. Generalized non-linear sigma models (GNLSMs) in two dimensions [1] have, in the last few years, attracted a lot of interest because of their deep analogies with the realistic four-dimensional gauge theories (instantons, asymptotic freedom, confinement). In Reference [2] supersymmetric extensions of the former were proposed to naturally include interactions with fermions. The accessibility of the powerful $1/N$ expansion to the GNLSMs (for a recent review, see Reference [3]) makes them a perfect instrument to imitate the complicated structure of quantum chromodynamics.

GNLSMs in higher (Euclidean) space-time dimensions $D > 2$ are also interesting, especially in the context of phase transitions and critical phenomena [4, 5]. From this point of view we consider in the present note the supersymmetric version of $D = 3$ GNLSMs, develop their $1/N$ expansion in an explicitly supersymmetric formulation, find a second-order phase transition, and analyze the properties of the arising phases.

2. We shall discuss for concreteness $D = 3$ supersymmetric GNLSMs on real Grassmannians $G_{N,n}(R) = O(N)/O(N-n) \times O(n)$. The complex and quaternionic cases can be treated analogously. We shall work in the framework of the superspace approach to supersymmetry [6]. The models under consideration are described by the superspace Lagrangian density (cf. Reference [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x, \theta) = N\mu/T \{ & \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\nabla}_\alpha \Phi)_a^k (\nabla_\alpha \Phi)_a^k - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_0 (\Phi_a^k \Phi_a^k - n) - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{kl} (\Phi_a^k \Phi_a^l - 1/n \delta^{kl} (\Phi_a^r \Phi_a^r)) \}; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$(\nabla_\alpha \Phi)_a^k = \mathcal{D}_\alpha \Phi_a^k + \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl} \Phi_a^l, \quad \Sigma_{kl} = \Sigma_{lk}, \quad \delta^{kl} \Sigma_{kl} = 0.$$

Here and below the following set of notations is used:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_a^k(x, \theta) &= \varphi_a^k(x) + \bar{\theta} \psi_a^k(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta F_a^k(x), \\
\Sigma_0(x, \theta) &= \sigma_0(x) + \bar{\theta} \kappa_0(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta \alpha_0(x), \\
\Sigma_{kl}(x, \theta) &= \sigma_{kl}(x) + \bar{\theta} \kappa_{kl}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta \alpha_{kl}(x), \\
\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl}(x, \theta) &= B_\alpha^{kl}(x) - i(A^{kl}(x)\theta)_\alpha + \rho^{kl}(x)\theta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta C_\alpha^{kl}(x), \quad A^{kl} \equiv A_\mu^{kl} \gamma^\mu; \\
\mathcal{D}_\alpha &= \partial/\partial\bar{\theta}^\alpha - i(\partial\theta)_\alpha, \quad \partial \equiv \gamma^\mu \partial/\partial x^\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The two-component Majorana spinors $\theta_\alpha, \psi_\alpha^a, \kappa_{\alpha, k}, \kappa_{\alpha, kl}, \kappa_{\alpha, 0}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl}, B_\alpha^{kl}, C_\alpha^{kl}$ (i.e., $\bar{\theta}_\alpha = C_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \theta_\beta$ etc. for Dirac conjugation, $C_{\alpha\beta}$ being the charge conjugation matrix) are odd elements of a Grassmann algebra. In Equation (1) T denotes a dimensionless coupling constant (temperature) and μ is a mass scale parameter. Summation over repeated indices is understood. Indices will often be suppressed for brevity. The full symmetry group $O(N)$ acts on the 'isotopic' indices $a = 1, \dots, N$ of the scalar superfield Φ_a^k , and the local gauge group $O(n)$ acts on the 'color' indices $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ of $\Phi_a^k, \Sigma_{kl}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl}$. Σ_0 and Σ_{kl} in (1) are auxiliary scalar superfields playing the role of Lagrange multipliers for the constraints $\Phi_a^k \Phi_a^l - \delta^{kl} = 0$ which parametrize $G_{N, n}(R)$ modulo local $O(n)$ rotations. The corresponding gauge superfield \mathcal{A}_α^{kl} is left, in the sequel, an independent dynamical variable, although the classical equations of motion render it a function of

$$\Phi_a^k : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_a^k \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \Phi_a^l.$$

Because of the $O(n)$ superfield gauge invariance of $\mathcal{L}(1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_a^k(x, \theta) &\longrightarrow \Omega_{kl}^{-1}(x, \theta) \Phi_a^l(x, \theta), \quad \Omega(x, \theta) \in O(n), \\
\mathcal{A}_\alpha(x, \theta) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{-1}(x, \theta) \mathcal{A}_\alpha(x, \theta) \Omega(x, \theta) + \Omega^{-1}(x, \theta) \mathcal{D}_\alpha \Omega(x, \theta),
\end{aligned}$$

we must introduce according to the Faddeev–Popov procedure [7] an additional gauge fixing term and the associated superfield ghosts:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}(x, \theta) = N/\lambda (\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha)^2 + \chi_k^* \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \nabla_\alpha^{kl} \chi_l. \tag{3}$$

3. To derive the $1/N$ expansion of the quantum generating functional:

$$Z[J, L_0, L, K] = \int \Pi d\Phi d\Sigma_0 d\Sigma d\mathcal{A} d\chi d\chi^* \exp \{ i \int d^3x d^2\theta \times \quad (4)$$

$$\times [\mathcal{L}(x, \theta) + J_a^k \Phi_a^k + L_0 \Sigma_0 + L_{kl} \Sigma_{kl} + K_\alpha^{kl} \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl}] \}$$

by the saddle point method the following basic formulas for superfield Gaussian functional integration are to be used:

$$\int \Pi d\Phi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^2\theta d^3y d^2\theta' \Phi(x, \theta) M(x, \theta; y, \theta') \Phi(y, \theta') + \right. \quad (5)$$

$$\left. + \int d^3x d^2\theta J(x, \theta) \Phi(x, \theta) \right\} = (\text{Ber } M)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^2\theta d^3y d^2\theta' \times \right.$$

$$\left. \times J(x, \theta) M^{-1}(x, \theta; y, \theta') J(y, \theta') \right\}; \quad \text{Ber } M = \exp \{ \text{sTr } \log M \},$$

where $\text{Ber}(\cdot)$ and $\text{sTr}(\cdot)$ denote Berezinian (superspace analogue of the determinant) and supertrace respectively (for a comprehensive recent review of the mathematical theory of superalgebras and supermanifolds, see Reference [8]). Equations (5) can be easily proved by means of the component decomposition (2) and the rules for integration over Grassmann variables [9].

Let us split Φ_a^k into (cf. [5]):

$$(\Phi_a^k) = (U_{a_1}^k, V_{a_2}^k) \quad a_1 = 1, \dots, n, \quad a_2 = n+1, \dots, N$$

and perform the Gaussian integration over $V_{a_2}^k$ in (4) according to Equations (5):

$$Z[J, L_0, L, K] = \int \Pi dU d\Sigma_0 d\Sigma d\mathcal{A} d\chi d\chi^* \exp \{ iNS_1[U, \Sigma_0, \Sigma, \mathcal{A}] + \quad (6)$$

$$+ iS_2[U, \Sigma_0, \Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \chi, \chi^*; J, L_0, L, K] \};$$

$$S_1 \equiv i/2 \text{sTr } \log \left[\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nabla} \nabla) + \Sigma_0 + \Sigma \right] + \mu/T \int d^3x d^2\theta \left\{ \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\nabla} U)_{a_1}^k (\nabla U)_{a_1}^k - \right. \quad (7)$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_0 (U_{a_1}^k U_{a_1}^k - n) - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{kl} (U_{a_1}^k U_{a_1}^l - 1/n \delta^{kl} (U_{a_1}^r U_{a_1}^r)) \right\};$$

$$S_2 \equiv in/2 \text{sTr } \log \left[\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\nabla} \nabla) + \Sigma_0 + \Sigma \right] + \int d^3x d^2\theta \times$$

$$\times [jU + L_0 \Sigma_0 + L\Sigma + K_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha + \Delta \mathcal{L}(x, \theta)] + T/2N\mu \int d^3x d^2\theta d^3y d^2\theta' \times$$

$$\times \{ h \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla} \nabla + \Sigma_0 + \Sigma \right)^{-1} h \}; \quad (J_a^k) \equiv (j_{a_1}^k, h_{a_2}^k).$$

The $1/N$ expansions of (6) is generated through expansion around the constant saddle points:

$$\hat{U}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k + \delta(\theta) \hat{F}_{a_1}^k, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_0 = \sigma_0 + \delta(\theta) \hat{\alpha}_0, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{kl} = \hat{\sigma}_{kl} + \delta(\theta) \hat{\alpha}_{kl}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha^{kl} = 0 \quad (8)$$

of S_1 (7) ($\delta(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta$ being the Grassmann delta-function). The equations for determination of (8) read:

$$(\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma}) \hat{F} + (\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}) \hat{\varphi} = 0; \quad (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma}) \hat{\varphi} - \hat{F} = 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu/2T (\hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{F}_{a_1}^l + \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^l \hat{F}_{a_1}^k) - i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \{ (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})_{kr} [\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha} + (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})^2 - p^2]_{rl}^{-1} + \\ + [\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha} + (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})^2 - p^2]_{kr}^{-1} (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})_{rl} - 2(\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})_{kr} [(\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})^2 - p^2]_{rl}^{-1} \} = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\mu/T (\hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^l - \delta^{kl}) - i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} [\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha} + (\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma})^2 - p^2]_{kl}^{-1} = 0.$$

It is straightforward to deduce that the only possible solution of Equations (9) for $\hat{\Sigma}_{kl}$ is $\hat{\Sigma}_{kl} = 0$. The divergent integral in the last Equation (9) can be renormalized by, e.g., a 'soft mass' subtraction:

$$-i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \{ [\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\sigma}_0^2 - p^2]^{-1} [\mu^2 - p^2]^{-1} \} + a_0/4\pi = \mu/T_c - 1/4\pi(\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\sigma}_0^2)^{1/2}$$

$$T_c \equiv 4\pi(1 + a_0)^{-1},$$

a_0 being an arbitrary dimensionless constant accounting for the subtraction ambiguity. Now solving the system (9) with $\hat{\sigma}_{kl} = \hat{\alpha}_{kl} = 0$ we obtain the following set of solutions:

(i) High temperature (HT) phase solutions ($T > T_c$):

$$(HT_1) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k = \hat{F}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\alpha}_0 = 0, \quad \hat{\sigma}_0 = 4\pi\mu(1/T_c - 1/T);$$

$$(HT_2) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k = \hat{F}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\sigma}_0 = 0, \quad \hat{\alpha}_0^{1/2} = 4\pi\mu(1/T_c - 1/T);$$

(ii) Low temperature (LT) phase solutions ($T < T_c$):

$$(LT_1) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^l = (1 - T/T_c) \delta^{kl}, \quad \hat{F}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\alpha}_0 = 0;$$

$$(LT_2) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^l = (1 - T/T_c) \delta^{kl}, \quad \hat{\alpha}_0^{1/2} = -\hat{\sigma}_0 = 4\pi\mu(1/T - 1/T_c), \quad \hat{F}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\sigma}_0 \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k;$$

(iii) Critical theory ($T = T_c$): $\hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k = \hat{F}_{a_1}^k = \hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\alpha}_0 = 0$.

Consider the quantum effective potential $\mathcal{V}[\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}]$ of (1) which according to the general theory is given by means of the Legendre transform of $\log Z[J, L_0, L, K]$ with respect to constant J, L_0, L, K (see, e.g., [10]). Noticing that the factor N enters the exponent of the generating functional (6) like \hbar^{-1} (\hbar being the Planck constant) we have in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\text{Vol } \mathcal{V}_\infty[\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}] \equiv \text{Vol} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}[\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}] = -S_1[\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{A}}], \quad \text{Vol} \equiv \int d^3x.$$

From this equation one deduces that the saddle points (8), (i) – (iii) of S_1 are vacuum expectation values of the corresponding superfields in the leading $1/N$ order:

$$\langle \Phi(x, \theta) \rangle = \hat{U} + 0(1/N), \quad \langle \Sigma_{0,kl}(x, \theta) \rangle = \hat{\Sigma}_{0,kl} + 0(1/N), \quad \langle \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl}(x, \theta) \rangle = 0,$$

and, therefore, to describe the real vacua (i.e., the pure phases) $\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}_{kl}$ must realize absolute minima of \mathcal{V}_∞ . Evaluating \mathcal{V}_∞ from equation (7) by a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\infty[\hat{U}, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\Sigma}, = 0, \hat{\mathcal{A}} = 0] &= \mu/T \{ \hat{\sigma}_0 \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{F}_{a_1}^k - \frac{1}{2} \hat{F}_{a_1}^k \hat{F}_{a_1}^k + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k - n(1 - T/T_c)] \} + n/12\pi [\hat{\sigma}_0^3 - (\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\sigma}_0^2)^{3/2}] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and substituting in Equation (10) the (HT_{1,2}) and (LT_{1,2}) solutions we get:

$$\mathcal{V}_\infty|_{\text{HT}_1} = \mathcal{V}_\infty|_{\text{LT}_1} = 0; \quad \mathcal{V}_\infty|_{\text{HT}_2} = \mathcal{V}_\infty|_{\text{LT}_2} = n/24\pi \hat{\alpha}_0^{3/2} > 0.$$

Thus only (HT₁) and (LT₁) phases are realized. An important consequence of this is that supersymmetry is preserved in both HT and LT phases as well as at the critical point (the corresponding non-zero vacuum expectation values $\langle \Sigma_0 \rangle = \hat{\sigma}_0$, $\langle \Phi_a^k \rangle = \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k$ respectively, do not violate supersymmetry).

4. It is convenient in what follows to rescale $\Phi \rightarrow (\mu/T)^{1/2} \Phi$, $J \rightarrow (T/\mu)^{1/2} J$. Shifting $U = \hat{\varphi} + N^{-1/2} \tilde{U}$, $\Sigma_0 = \hat{\sigma}_0 + \tilde{\Sigma}_0$ in Equation (6) and performing the Gaussian integration over \tilde{U} we arrive at the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J, L_0, L, K] &= \int \Pi d\tilde{\Sigma}_0 d\Sigma d\mathcal{A} d\chi d\chi^* \exp [-N/4 \int d^3x d^2\theta \{ \bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} G(x, \theta; x, \theta) - \\ &- i(\bar{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\varphi})(\mathcal{A} \hat{\varphi}) \} + N/2 \sum_{M=2}^{\infty} (-1)^M / M \text{sTr} [(GW)^M] + i/2 \int d^3x d^2\theta d^3y d^2\theta' \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \{j - (\tilde{\Sigma}_0 + \Sigma + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_\alpha \bar{\nabla}_\alpha) \hat{\phi} N^{1/2}\} (x, \theta) (G^{-1} + W)^{-1} (x, \theta; y, \theta') \times \\
& \times [j - (\tilde{\Sigma}_0 + \Sigma - \frac{\leftarrow}{\nabla}_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha) \hat{\phi} N^{1/2}] (y, \theta') + h(G^{-1} + W)^{-1} h\} + i \int d^3x d^2\theta \times \\
& \times \{L_0 \Sigma_0 + L \Sigma + K_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha + \Delta \mathcal{L}(x, \theta)\}; \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$G \equiv [\frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} + \hat{\sigma}_0]^{-1}; \quad W \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D} - \frac{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A} + \bar{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}) + \tilde{\Sigma}_0 + \Sigma.$$

Equation (11) determines all supergraph elements of the $1/N$ diagram expansion in the HT and LT phases and in the critical theory. Let us write down explicitly the free propagators in momentum space choosing Lorentz-type gauge $\lambda = 0$ in $\Delta \mathcal{L}$ (3) (i.e., $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha = 0$):

$$\langle \Phi_a^k \Phi_b^l \rangle_{\text{HT}}^{(0)} = (-i) e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} (1 + \sigma_0 \delta(\theta - \theta')) [\hat{\sigma}_0^2 - p^2]^{-1} \delta^{kl} \delta_{ab}; \tag{12.a}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \rangle_{\text{HT}}^{(0)} = -2i(Nn)^{-1} [(4\hat{\sigma}_0^2 - p^2)F(p^2)]^{-1} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} (1 - 2\hat{\sigma}_0 \delta(\theta - \theta')); \tag{12.b}$$

$$\langle \Sigma_{kl} \Sigma_{rs} \rangle_{\text{HT}}^{(0)} = n \langle \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \rangle_{\text{HT}}^{(0)} \{ \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{kr} \delta_{ls} + \delta_{ks} \delta_{lr}) - 1/n \delta_{kl} \delta_{rs} \}; \tag{12.c}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\beta^{rs} \rangle_{\text{HT}}^{(0)} = 2iN^{-1} [p(2\hat{\sigma}_0 - p)F(p^2)]^{-1} (\delta^{kr} \delta^{ls} \delta^{ks} \delta^{lr}) \Pi_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{12.d}$$

$$\Pi(p; \theta, \theta') = \frac{1}{2} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} [\delta(\theta - \theta') - 1/p], \quad p \equiv p_\mu \gamma^\mu, \tag{13}$$

$$F(p^2) = \begin{cases} [4\pi(-p^2)^{1/2}]^{-1} \text{tg}^{-1} [(-p^2/4\hat{\sigma}_0^2)^{1/2}], & p^2 < 0, \\ [4\pi(p^2)^{1/2}]^{-1} \log [2\hat{\sigma}_0 + (p^2)^{1/2}] (4\hat{\sigma}_0^2 - p^2)^{1/2}, & p^2 > 0; \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

$$\langle V_{a_2}^k V_{b_2}^l \rangle_{\text{LT}}^{(0)} = \delta^{kl} \delta_{a_2 b_2} i(p^2)^{-1} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'}; \tag{15.a}$$

$$\langle \Phi_r^k \Phi_s^l \rangle_{\text{LT}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{kl} \delta_{rs} - \delta_{ks} \delta_{lr}) i(p^2)^{-1} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} - i e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} [-p^2 + 16\hat{\phi}^2 (-p^2)^{1/2}]^{-1} \times \tag{15.b}$$

$$\times \{ \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{kl} \delta_{rs} + \delta_{ks} \delta_{rl}) - (1 - 1/n) \delta_{kr} \delta_{ls} 16\hat{\phi}^2 [(-p^2)^{1/2} + 16n\hat{\phi}^2]^{-1} \},$$

$$\Phi_r^k \equiv (\hat{\varphi}^2)^{-1} \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^r \tilde{U}_{a_1}^k, \quad \hat{\varphi}^2 \delta^{kl} = \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k \hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^l \quad (\text{cf. (ii)}); \quad (15.c)$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \rangle_{LT}^{(0)} = -16i(Nn)^{-1} [(-p^2)^{1/2} + 16 \hat{\varphi}^2]^{-1} e^{\theta p \theta'};$$

$$\langle \Sigma_{kl} \Sigma_{rs} \rangle_{LT}^{(0)} = -16iN^{-1} [(-p^2)^{1/2} + 16 \hat{\varphi}^2]^{-1} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'} \times \quad (15.d)$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{kr} \delta_{ls} + \delta_{ks} \delta_{lr}) - 1/n \delta_{kl} \delta_{rs} \right\};$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl} \mathcal{A}_\beta^{rs} \rangle_{LT}^{(0)} = 16iN^{-1} [(-p^2)^{1/2} + 16 \varphi^2]^{-1} (\delta^{kr} \delta^{ls} \delta^{ks} \delta^{lr}) \Pi_{\alpha\beta}(p; \theta, \theta'). \quad (15.e)$$

The operator $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ (13) is a 'transverse' projector: $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \Pi_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, $\Pi_{\alpha\gamma} \Pi_{\gamma\beta} = \Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ is the supersymmetric analogue of the transverse projector $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu / p^2$ in the gauge field propagators of the usual GNLSMs [4, 5]). From formulas (12), (15) we read off directly the particle spectrum in the corresponding phases.

The (HT₁) phase is super-, $0(N)$ isotopic-, and $0(n)$ gauge-symmetric. There are nN massive scalar superfields Φ_a^k with equal mass $m \equiv \hat{\sigma}_0$ which is dynamically generated (it was not present in the initial classical Lagrangian (1)) and $n(n-1)$ massless transverse gauge superfields \mathcal{A}_α^{kl} (12d). The singularities of (12b, c, d) at $p^2 = 4\hat{\sigma}_0^2$ do not correspond to any (bound state) particle because of the presence of an additional logarithmic singularity in $F(p^2)$ (14) at this point.

The (LT₁) phase (Higgs–Goldstone phase) is supersymmetric with spontaneous breaking of the $0(N) \times 0(n)_{\text{gauge}}$ symmetry up to the residual $0(N-n) \times \text{diag}(0(n)_{\text{isotopic}} \times 0(n)_{\text{gauge}})$ symmetry. This last subgroup is the stability group of the LT vacuum defined through $\hat{\varphi}_{a_1}^k$ in (ii, LT₁). The LT particle spectrum consists of only $n(N-1)$ massless Goldstone superfields $V_{a_2}^k$, Φ_r^k ($r \neq k$) (15a, b). The propagators of Φ_k^k (no summation over k), $\tilde{\Sigma}_0$, Σ_{kl} and \mathcal{A}_α^{kl} (15b-e) have not poles at all. Thus, the Higgs mechanism does not operate here. Let us stress on the similarities of the HT and LT phases in the $D=3$ supersymmetric GNLSMs to their counterparts in the usual non-supersymmetric case, see References [4, 5]. (The description of the LT particle spectrum in the usual GNLSMs ($D=3$) given in Reference [5] is not correct. The former contains only $n(N-1)$ massless Goldstone bosons, the remaining n scalar bosons and the would-be massive (via Higgs mechanism) gauge bosons being 'confined', just as in the supersymmetric case.)

The critical theory (iii) with superfield propagators:

$$\langle \Phi_a^k \Phi_b^l \rangle_{cr}^{(0)} = \delta^{kl} \delta_{ab} i(p^2)^{-1} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'}, \quad \langle \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \rangle_{cr}^{(0)} = -16i(Nn)^{-1} (-p^2)^{1/2} e^{\bar{\theta} p \theta'},$$

$$\langle \Sigma_{kl} \Sigma_{rs} \rangle_{cr}^{(0)} = n \langle \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \tilde{\Sigma}_0 \rangle_{cr}^{(0)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{kr} \delta_{ls} + \delta_{ks} \delta_{lr}) - 1/n \delta_{kl} \delta_{rs} \right\},$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{kl} \mathcal{A}_\beta^{rs} \rangle_{cr}^{(0)} = 16iN^{-1} (-p^2)^{1/2} (\delta^{kr} \delta^{ls} \delta^{ks} \delta^{lr}) \Pi_{\alpha\beta}(p; \theta, \theta'),$$

is supersymmetric and scale invariant.

In a subsequent work the problems of renormalization of the $1/N$ expansion and the critical behaviour will be considered.